

TEL: 0772464578

E-mail: kaabungaasaphl@gmail.com:

IF ANY CORRESPONDENCE ON THIS
SUBJECT PLEASE QUOTE:



MUBENDE DISTRICT LOCAL
GOVERNMENT
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
P.O.BOX 93

23rd September, 2024

The District Education Officer,
Mubende.

MUBENDE DISTRICT LOCAL GOVERNMENT JOINT MOCK RESULTS AUGUST, 2024.

Introduction.

The 2024 District Joint Mock Examinations were planned through a comprehensive roadmap involving various stakeholders. The process began with a preparation up to dissemination of results.

The examinations were conducted from August 14th to 16th, 2024 at respective schools with UNEB centres, overseen by the DEO's Office and CAO. Marking took place from August 26th to 31th August 2024, at Kaweeri District Model Primary School, involving the District Examination Board, UNEB Examiners, selected District Examiners and the DEO's Office.

The results are now ready to be released and disseminated to different stakeholders.

Registered Candidates.

Mubende District registered a total of 5626 candidates from 122 schools whereby, 87 are Government Aided schools and 35 are Private Schools.

3618 Candidates were from Government/UPE schools and 2008 from private schools representing 64.3% and 35.7% respectively of which 3,049 of the candidates were female and 2,577 were male, representing 54.2% and 45.8% respectively.

Table One: Overall MOCK performance by Division.

Division	Frequency	Percent
1	274	4.9
2	1,214	21.6
3	619	11.0
4	1,528	27.2
U	1,851	32.9
X	140	2.5
Total	5,626	100.0

The table above shows that only 274(4.9%) candidates scored grade I, 1,214(21.6%) candidates scored grade II, 619(11.0%) grade III, 1,528 (27.2%) grade IV, 1,851 (32.9%) were ungraded and 140(2.5%) were absent. Kitokota Primary School had the highest number of absentees with 20 candidates followed by Muyinayina Primary School with 7, Precious Learning Centre with 6 and Kasaana Public Primary school 5 candidates.

Table Two: Comparison of Mock performance with the last three years.

Year	DI	%	D2	%	D3	%	D4	%	U	%	X	%	T
2019	22	0.5	273	5.9	241	5.2	971	20.9	3,001	64.5	142	3.1	4,650
2022	91	1.8	644	12.5	1,049	20.3	747	14.5	2,355	45.7	269	5.2	5,155
2023	27	0.5	371	7.4	293	5.9	1,168	23.4	2,960	59.4	167	3.3	4,986
2024	274	4.9	1,214	21.6	619	11.0	1,528	27.2	1,851	32.9	140	2.5	5,626

There is great improvement in performance as compared to the previous years.

Table Three: Performance by sex and school ownership (UPE and Private)

Div	Gender	Ownership				Total	%
		Private	%	UPE	%		
1	F	92	8.5	22	1.1	114	3.7
	M	133	14.3	27	1.6	160	6.2
	TT	225	11.2	49	1.4	274	4.9
2	F	349	32	258	13	607	20
	M	320	34	287	17	607	24
	TT	669	33.3	545	15.1	1214	21.6
3	F	121	11.2	213	10.8	334	11.0
	M	93	10.0	192	11.7	285	11.1
	TT	214	10.7	405	11.2	619	11.0
4	F	284	26.4	600	30.4	884	29.0
	M	213	22.9	431	26.2	644	25.0
	TT	497	24.8	1031	28.5	1528	27.2
U	F	207	19.2	839	42.5	1046	34.3
	M	155	16.6	650	39.5	805	31.2

	TT	362	18.0	1489	41.2	1851	32.9
X	F	24	2.2	40	2.0	64	2.1
	M	17	1.8	59	3.6	76	2.9
	TT	41	2.0	99	2.7	140	2.5
Total	F	1077	53.6	1972	54.5	3049	54.2
	M	931	46.4	1646	45.5	2577	45.8
	Total	2008	100	3618	100	5626	100

Table Four: Subject performance

Grade	English Agg		SST Agg		Science Agg		Math Agg	
	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%
1	59	1.0	37	.7	16	.3	262	4.7
2	396	7.0	142	2.5	101	1.8	279	5.0
3	269	4.8	159	2.8	150	2.7	169	3.0
4	383	6.8	211	3.8	154	2.7	183	3.3
5	818	14.5	538	9.6	415	7.4	444	7.9
6	847	15.1	748	13.3	482	8.6	340	6.0
7	803	14.3	867	15.4	597	10.6	750	13.3
8	701	12.5	872	15.5	813	14.5	966	17.2
9	1,222	21.7	1,920	34.1	2,768	49.2	2,102	37.4
X	128	2.3	132	2.3	130	2.3	131	2.3
Total	5,626	100.0	5,626	100.0	5,626	100.0	5,626	100.0

English subject was the most done subject with 8.1% passing with distinctions and 49.3% passing with at least a Credit. Social studies were the second done subject with 3.2% passing with Distinctions and 32.6% passing with at least a credit. Mathematics was the third done subject with 9.6% passing with distinctions and 29.8% passing with at least a Credit. Science was the worst done subject with 2.1% passing with Distinctions and only 23.4% passing with at least a credit.

Table Five: General school performance

School_Name	Ownership	1	2	3	4	U	X	Total	%Div 1	% Div 1-2	%Div 1 - 3	%Div 1 - 4	%Div U & X
1. New Life Prep Sch	Private	21	2	0	0	0	0	23	91.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
2. Jesus Cares PS	Private	7	9	0	0	0	0	16	43.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
3. Hope and Care PS	Private	9	23	0	0	0	0	32	28.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
4. Maryland PS	Private	8	22	0	0	0	0	30	26.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
5. Kibaale Model PS	Private	20	19	1	0	0	0	40	50.0	97.5	100.0	100.0	0.0
6. Kisojjo Shinning	Private	8	11	3	0	0	0	22	36.4	86.4	100.0	100.0	0.0
7. A Chance for Children	Private	7	17	4	1	0	0	29	24.1	82.8	96.6	100.0	0.0
8. Bright Star	Private	7	1	1	3	0	0	22	31.8	81.8	86.4	100.0	0.0

School_Name	Ownership	1	2	3	4	U	X	Total	%Div 1	% Div 1-2	%Div 1-3	%Div 1-4	%Div U & X
PS			1									0	
9. Royal Kids PS	Private	14	33	2	5	0	0	54	25.9	87.0	90.7	100.0	0.0
10. Fr. Alex You	Private	10	23	0	6	0	0	39	25.6	84.6	84.6	100.0	0.0
11. Kibyamirizi PS	Private	1	3	1	3	0	0	8	12.5	50.0	62.5	100.0	0.0
12. Trust Learning Center	Private	1	13	1	1	0	0	16	6.3	87.5	93.8	100.0	0.0
13. Kansambya PS	UPE	1	22	21	10	0	0	54	1.9	42.6	81.5	100.0	0.0
14. Don Bosco PS	UPE	0	12	7	11	0	0	30	0.0	40.0	63.3	100.0	0.0
15. Nakawala PS	Private	0	5	3	16	0	0	24	0.0	20.8	33.3	100.0	0.0
16. Kiwumulo PS	Private	0	1	2	3	0	0	6	0.0	16.7	50.0	100.0	0.0
17. Kyengeza PS	Private	5	8	8	7	0	0	28	17.9	46.4	75.0	100.0	0.0
18. CAWODISA PS	UPE	0	25	6	3	0	0	34	0.0	73.5	91.2	100.0	0.0
19. Madudu CU PS	Private		0	1	6		0	7	0.0	0.0	14.3	100.0	0.0
20. Kansambya PS	Private	1	30	17	7	1		56	1.8	55.4	85.7	98.2	1.8
21. Answered Prayers PS	Private	12	22	4	11		1	50	24.0	68.0	76.0	98.0	2.0
22. Kyembogo Sunrise	Private	15	41	15	19	2	0	92	16.3	60.9	77.2	97.8	2.2
23. Mary Star PS	Private	13	25	4	1		1	44	29.5	86.4	95.5	97.7	2.3
24. Kafundeezi PS	Private	1	21	7	14	0	1	44	2.3	50.0	65.9	97.7	2.3
25. Good Life Intergrated PS	Private	3	20	8	8	1	0	40	7.5	57.5	77.5	97.5	2.5
26. Madudu CU PS	UPE		4	11			1	27	0.0	14.8	55.6	96.3	3.7
27. Katente West PS	UPE	4	23	30	25	3	1	86	4.7	31.4	66.3	95.3	4.7
28. St. Gonza PS	Private	2	11	2	4	1		20	10.0	65.0	75.0	95.0	5.0
29. Kasaana Public PS	Private	2	11	0	6	0	1	20	10.0	65.0	65.0	95.0	5.0
30. Butayunja Parents Sch	Private	2	7	1	6		1	17	11.8	52.9	58.8	94.1	5.9
31. Mugungulu PS	Private	0	3	2	11	0	1	17	0.0	17.6	29.4	94.1	5.9
32. Kafundeezi PS	UPE	1	32	18	44	3	3	101	1.0	32.7	50.5	94.1	5.9
33. Kasambya DAS	UPE	3	37	6	17	1	3	67	4.5	59.7	68.7	94.0	6.0

School_Name	Ownership	1	2	3	4	U	X	Total	%Div 1	% Div 1-2	%Div 1 - 3	%Div 1 - 4	%Div U & X
34. Kabaale PS	Private	0	6	4	5	1		16	0.0	37.5	62.5	93.8	6.3
35. St. Zoe PS	Private	2	7	4	1		1	15	13.3	60.0	86.7	93.3	6.7
36. Kabunyansi PS	Private	2	7	1	2	0	1	13	15.4	69.2	76.9	92.3	7.7
37. Lulongo PS	UPE		1	7	12	2		22	0.0	4.5	36.4	90.9	9.1
38. Kyamuguruma PS	Private	1	13	2	3	0		32	3.1	43.8	50.0	90.6	9.4
39. Nkokonjeru PS	UPE	1	18	1	13	4	1	53	1.9	35.8	66.0	90.6	9.4
40. Ssenkulu PS	UPE		14	8	3	1		42	0.0	38.1	57.1	90.5	9.5
41. Lwawuna PS	UPE	12	18	9	3	4	0	81	14.8	56.8	67.9	90.1	9.9
42. Kitonzi PS	Private	15	16	3	2	3	1	40	37.5	77.5	85.0	90.0	10.0
43. Golden Junior School	Private	4	11	3	9	3	0	30	13.3	50.0	60.0	90.0	10.0
44. Kikumulo PS	UPE	0	9	5	1	1		19	0.0	15.8	63.2	89.5	10.5
45. Kibyamirizi PS	UPE	1	27	7	6	0		54	1.9	25.9	38.9	88.9	11.1
46. Madudu RC PS	UPE	0	15	8	1	3	0	27	0.0	29.6	33.3	88.9	11.1
47. Star Parents PS	Private	1	12	3	7	3	0	26	3.8	30.8	42.3	88.5	11.5
48. Mission Destiny	Private	0	16	4	9	4	0	33	0.0	27.3	39.4	87.9	12.1
49. Kasambya PS	Private	1	12	4	2	3	0	24	4.2	62.5	79.2	87.5	12.5
50. Kijumba CU PS	UPE	0	13	8	7	4	0	32	0.0	40.6	65.6	87.5	12.5
51. Bulyana PS	Private	0	12	4	4	2	0	16	0.0	37.5	62.5	87.5	12.5
52. Buwaata PS	Private	9	17	5	8	5	1	45	20.0	57.8	68.9	86.7	13.3
53. Kisoolo PS	Private	0	3	0	3	1	0	7	0.0	42.9	42.9	85.7	14.3
54. Mugungulu PS	UPE	0	23	5	7	5	1	41	0.0	17.1	29.3	85.4	14.6
55. Agape Christian PS	Private	2	14	6	7	5	0	34	5.9	47.1	64.7	85.3	14.7
56. Biwalwe PS	UPE	0	10	4	3	1	2	20	0.0	15.0	35.0	85.0	15.0
57. Kiyita PS	Private	1	10	2	2	2	0	10	10.0	40.0	60.0	80.0	20.0
58. Katega PS	Private	1	10	1	4	1	1	10	10.0	30.0	40.0	80.0	20.0
59. Kiboyo PS	Private	1	15	3	7	3	0	15	6.7	26.7	33.3	80.0	20.0
60. Gwanika PS	Private	0	5	2	0	2	1	5	0.0	40.0	40.0	80.0	20.0
61. Gogonya Parents PS	Private	1	19	1	2	4	0	19	5.3	63.2	68.4	78.9	21.1
62. Don Bosco PS	Private	1	23	2	13	5	0	23	4.3	13.0	21.7	78.3	21.7
63. Luteete PS	Private	0	9	2	2	3	2	9	0.0	22.2	44.4	77.8	22.2

School_Name	Ownership	1	2	3	4	U	X	Total	%Div 1	% Div 1-2	%Div 1-3	%Div 1-4	%Div U & X
64. Busenya PS	UPE	1	17	3	21	11	1	54	1.9	33.3	38.9	77.8	22.2
65. Grace Christian PS	Private	5	18	3	29	18	1	84	6.0	27.4	42.9	77.4	22.6
66. Kabowa PS	Private	3	7	3	21	10		44	6.8	22.7	29.5	77.3	22.7
67. Kisoolo PS	UPE		19	4	20	12	1	56	0.0	33.9	41.1	76.8	23.2
68. Our Father	Private	2	11	3	8	8		32	6.3	40.6	50.0	75.0	25.0
69. Kirumbi PS	Private	0	0	1	2	1	0	4	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	25.0
70. Kisagazi PS	UPE	0	5	5	16	7	2	35	0.0	14.3	28.6	74.3	25.7
71. Buweekula Parents PS	Private	4	13	6	5	8	2	38	10.5	44.7	60.5	73.7	26.3
72. Dyangoma PS	Private	0	2	3	3	2	1	11	0.0	18.2	45.5	72.7	27.3
73. Kyakasimbi PS	Private	0	13	1	15	14	1	53	0.0	24.5	43.4	71.7	28.3
74. Katega PS	UPE	2	5	7	18	12	1	45	4.4	15.6	31.1	71.1	28.9
75. Buwaata PS	UPE	12	36	2	29	39	2	140	8.6	34.3	50.0	70.7	29.3
76. Kattambogo PS	Private	0	7	5	18	11	2	43	0.0	16.3	27.9	69.8	30.2
77. St. Thereza PS	Private	0	6	4	13	10	0	33	0.0	18.2	30.3	69.7	30.3
78. Ebenezer Juvenile	Private	0	10	6	13	13	0	42	0.0	23.8	38.1	69.0	31.0
79. Kitonzi PS	UPE	1	3	3	8	7	0	22	4.5	18.2	31.8	68.2	31.8
80. Kakenzi PS	UPE	0	6	6	17	14	0	43	0.0	14.0	27.9	67.4	32.6
81. Kabaale PS	UPE	1	10	5	13	16	0	45	2.2	24.4	35.6	64.4	35.6
82. Ikula PS	UPE	0	5	10	11	14	1	41	0.0	12.2	36.6	63.4	36.6
83. Dyangoma PS	UPE	0	4	6	21	18	0	49	0.0	8.2	20.4	63.3	36.7
84. Ssaka PS	UPE	0	3	5	10	10	1	29	0.0	10.3	27.6	62.1	37.9
85. Rwegula PS	UPE	2	11	8	18	24		63	3.2	20.6	33.3	61.9	38.1
86. Kirume Public PS	UPE	0	0	1	12	7	1	21	0.0	0.0	4.8	61.9	38.1
87. Kigondo Standard PS	Private	0	3	2	11	10	0	26	0.0	11.5	19.2	61.5	38.5
88. Kibalinga PS	UPE	0	4	10	24	24	0	62	0.0	6.5	22.6	61.3	38.7
89. Gwanika PS	UPE	0	3	1	10	9	0	23	0.0	13.0	17.4	60.9	39.1
90. Kasasa PS	Private	0	0	0	3	2	0	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0
91. Kibalinga PS	Private	0	6	0	10	8	3	27	0.0	22.2	22.2	59.3	40.7

School_Name	Ownership	1	2	3	4	U	X	Total	%Div 1	% Div 1-2	%Div 1-3	%Div 1-4	%Div U & X
92. Christ the King	Private	0	4	2	12	13		31	0.0	12.9	19.4	58.1	41.9
93. Precious Child Learning Center	Private	0	3	4	12	8	6	33	0.0	9.1	21.2	57.6	42.4
94. Kigamba PS	UPE	2	7	10	22	29	2	72	2.8	12.5	26.4	56.9	43.1
95. Kisongola PS	UPE	0	0	0	5	4	0	9	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4
96. Bulyana PS	UPE	0	4	6	5	12	0	27	0.0	14.8	37.0	55.6	44.4
97. Kabulasoke PS	Private	0	2	3	11	12	1	29	0.0	6.9	17.2	55.2	44.8
98. Kayebe PS	Private	0	3	1	7	9	0	20	0.0	15.0	20.0	55.0	45.0
99. Butayunja Dam PS	UPE	0	3	5	5	10	1	24	0.0	12.5	33.3	54.2	45.8
100. Nsengwe PS	UPE	0	8	7	32	38	4	89	0.0	9.0	16.9	52.8	47.2
101. Mirembe Agape PS	UPE	0	6	8	30	39	1	84	0.0	7.1	16.7	52.4	47.6
102. Butuuti PS	UPE	0	9	6	10	24	0	49	0.0	18.4	30.6	51.0	49.0
103. Kigamba PS	Private	0	2	0	6	8	0	16	0.0	12.5	12.5	50.0	50.0
104. Kakonyi PS	UPE	0	2	0	8	10	0	20	0.0	10.0	10.0	50.0	50.0
105. Nakawala PS	UPE	0	4	3	11	18	1	37	0.0	10.8	18.9	48.6	51.4
106. Kijumba RC PS	UPE	1	3	3	6	14	0	27	3.7	14.8	25.9	48.1	51.9
107. Nabibungo PS	Private	0	5	3	11	20	1	40	0.0	12.5	20.0	47.5	52.5
108. Kanyogoga PS	UPE	0	3	1	3	8	0	15	0.0	20.0	26.7	46.7	53.3
109. St. Kizito Nabingola PS	UPE	0	9	5	30	48	3	95	0.0	9.5	14.7	46.3	53.7
110. Kayebe PS	UPE	0	1	4	18	25	2	50	0.0	2.0	10.0	46.0	54.0
111. Kyakasi mbi PS	UPE	0	3	1	7	13	0	24	0.0	12.5	16.7	45.8	54.2
112. Kyamuguruma PS	UPE	0	1	2	13	18	1	35	0.0	2.9	8.6	45.7	54.3
113. Katente East PS	UPE	0	5	2	8	15	3	33	0.0	15.2	21.2	45.5	54.5
114. Kitaama PS	UPE	0	1	4	9	15	2	31	0.0	3.2	16.1	45.2	54.8
115. St. Kizito Nabingola PS	Private	0	4	2	7	14	2	29	0.0	13.8	20.7	44.8	55.2
116. Kasozi PS	UPE	0	4	4	24	40		72	0.0	5.6	11.1	44.4	55.6
117. Lugaaga	UPE	0	2	2	10	17	1	32	0.0	6.3	12.5	43.8	56.3

School_Name	Ownership	1	2	3	4	U	X	Total	%Div 1	% Div 1-2	%Div 1-3	%Div 1-4	%Div U & X
PS													
118. Kifumbira PS	UPE	0	7	3	10	25	1	46	0.0	15.2	21.7	43.5	56.5
119. Butayunga Dam PS	Private	0	1	0	2	4	0	7	0.0	14.3	14.3	42.9	57.1
120. Kasasa PS	UPE	0	3	2	15	25	2	47	0.0	6.4	10.6	42.6	57.4
121. Kabunonyi PS	UPE	0	3	4	14	29		50	0.0	6.0	14.0	42.0	58.0
122. Maaya PS	UPE	0	3	1	19	31	2	56	0.0	5.4	7.1	41.1	58.9
123. Kabunyanzi PS	UPE	1	10	2	19	47	1	80	1.3	13.8	16.3	40.0	60.0
124. Kabubu PS	UPE	0	1	1	6	11	1	20	0.0	5.0	10.0	40.0	60.0
125. Makukulu PS	UPE	0	2	2	6	14	2	26	0.0	7.7	15.4	38.5	61.5
126. Kisiita PS	UPE	0	0	0	5	8	0	13	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.5	61.5
127. Kabowa PS	UPE	0	6	3	4	21		34	0.0	17.6	26.5	38.2	61.8
128. Buganyi PS	UPE	0	2	4	9	24	1	40	0.0	5.0	15.0	37.5	62.5
129. Kirume Public PS	Private	0	0	1	2	5	0	8	0.0	0.0	12.5	37.5	62.5
130. Kitokota PS	UPE	0	3	11	7	16	20	57	0.0	5.3	24.6	36.8	63.2
131. Kawumulo PS	UPE	0	0	0	8	14	0	22	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6
132. Nabibungo PS	UPE	0	1	1	7	15	1	25	0.0	4.0	8.0	36.0	64.0
133. Ntungamo PS	UPE	0	0	2	7	17	0	26	0.0	0.0	7.7	34.6	65.4
134. Kiyita PS	UPE	3	7	6	6	40	2	64	4.7	15.6	25.0	34.4	65.6
135. Kitemba PS	UPE	0	2	1	8	21	1	33	0.0	6.1	9.1	33.3	66.7
136. Kiboyo PS	UPE	0	2	1	8	23		34	0.0	5.9	8.8	32.4	67.6
137. Kasaana Public PS	UPE	0	3	0	13	30	5	51	0.0	5.9	5.9	31.4	68.6
138. Kattambogo PS	UPE	0	0	2	3	11	0	16	0.0	0.0	12.5	31.3	68.8
139. Kitenga PS	UPE	0	4	2	10	35	1	52	0.0	7.7	11.5	30.8	69.2
140. Luteete PS	UPE	0	0	4	9	30	0	43	0.0	0.0	9.3	30.2	69.8
141. Kisiita PS	Private	0	0	0	3	8	0	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7
142. Naluwonda Bright PS	Private	0	2	0	7	24	1	34	0.0	5.9	5.9	26.5	73.5
143. Muyinayina PS	UPE	0	2	0	9	29	2	42	0.0	4.8	4.8	26.2	73.8

School_Name	Ownership	1	2	3	4	U	X	Total	%Div 1	% Div 1-2	%Div 1-3	%Div 1-4	%Div U & X
144. Maaya PS	Private	0	1	0	2	8	1	12	0.0	8.3	8.3	25.0	75.0
145. Kashenyi PS	UPE	0	0	1	3	12	0	16	0.0	0.0	6.3	25.0	75.0
146. Kabyuma PS	UPE	0	0	1	4	14	1	20	0.0	0.0	5.0	25.0	75.0
147. Kasaana CU PS	UPE	0	1	1	5	21	0	28	0.0	3.6	7.1	25.0	75.0
148. Kiruuma PS	UPE	0	1	2	6	27	1	37	0.0	2.7	8.1	24.3	75.7
149. Kyebumba PS	UPE	0	0	2	8	29	3	42	0.0	0.0	4.8	23.8	76.2
150. Kaseesa PS	UPE	0	0	0	4	13	0	17	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.5	76.5
151. Kikoma PS	UPE	0	1	0	5	21	0	27	0.0	3.7	3.7	22.2	77.8
152. Kalonga PS	UPE	0	1	1	6	29	1	38	0.0	2.6	5.3	21.1	78.9
153. Kakonyi PS	Private	0	0	1	0	4	0	5	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	80.0
154. Mirembe Agape PS	Private	0	0	0	6	25	1	32	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3
155. Kisongola PS	Private	0	0	2	3	22	0	27	0.0	0.0	7.4	18.5	81.5
156. Kabamba PS	UPE	0	0	1	3	17	1	22	0.0	0.0	4.5	18.2	81.8
157. Kiyungu PS	UPE	0	3	2	5	45	0	55	0.0	5.5	9.1	18.2	81.8
158. Mawujjo PS	UPE	0	0	0	5	20	3	28	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.9	82.1
159. Kijaaji PS	UPE	0	0	0	2	14	0	16	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5
160. Kisojjo PS	UPE	0	0	0	2	13	1	16	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5
161. Kirumbi PS	UPE	0	0	0	2	33	1	36	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4
162. Kiyungu PS	Private	0	0	0	0	7	0	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
163. Muyinayina PS	Private	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0

The district had 5,626 candidates sit for the MOCK Examinations out of which 274 passed in Division one, 1,214 passed in Division two, 619 in Division three, 1,528 in Division four, 1,851 failed the examinations and 140 missed sitting some or all the MOCK papers. Therefore, 64.6% of the total candidates that sat for MOCK Examinations passed as 35.4% failed.

Table Six: UPE schools' performance:

Division	Number of candidates	Percent
----------	----------------------	---------

1	49	1.4
2	545	15.1
3	405	11.2
4	1,031	28.5
U	1,489	41.2
X	99	2.7
Total	3,618	100

The District had a total of 3,618 UPE candidates. Out of these, 49 (1.4%) passed in Division one, 545 (15.1%) in Division two, 405 (11.2%) in division three, 1,031 (28.5%) in Division four, 1,489 (41.2%) failed and 99 pupils missed some of the papers and or missed all the papers. This implies that 2,030 (56.1%) of the UPE candidates passed and 1,588 (43.9%) failed.

Table Seven: Private schools' performance:

Division	Pupils	Percent
1	225	11.2
2	669	33.3
3	214	10.7
4	497	24.8
U	362	18.0
X	41	2.0
Total	2,008	100

The District had a total of 2,008 private candidates. Out of these, 225 (11.2%) passed in Division one, 669 (33.3%) in Division two, 214 (10.7%) in division three, 497 (24.8%) in Division four, 362 failed and 41 missed some of the papers and or missed all the papers. This implies that 1,605 (79.9%) of the private candidates passed and 403 (20.1%) failed.

Table Eight: Female Performance for both Private and UPE Candidates:

Division	Number of candidates	Percent
1	114	3.7
2	607	20
3	334	11
4	884	29
U	1046	
X	64	2.1
Total	3,049	100

The District had 3,049 female candidates. Out of which 3.7% passed in Division 1, 20% in Division 2, 11% in division 3, 29% in Division 4, 34.3% failed as 2.1% missed some or all the papers. Therefore 63.6% passed as 36.4% failed out of the total number of female pupils that sat for MOCK Examinations.

Table Eight: Male Performance for both Private and UPE Candidates:

Division	Number of candidates	Percent
1	160	6.2
2	607	24
3	285	11.1
4	644	25
U	805	31.2
X	76	2.9
Total	2,577	100

Male Performance for both Private and UPE Pupils. The District had 2,577 male pupils. Out of which 6.2% passed in Division 1, 24% in Division 2, 11.1% in division 3, 25% in Division 4, 31.2% failed as 2.9% missed some or all the papers. Therefore 65.8% passed as 34.2% failed out of the total number of male candidates that sat for MOCK Examinations

Table Nine: Overall performance by Sex:

Division	Sex				Total
	F	%	M	%	
I	114	3.7	160	6.2	274
II	607	29	607	24	1,214
III	334	11	285	11.1	619
IV	884	29	644	25	1,528
U	1046	34.3	805	31.2	1,851
X	64	2.1	76	2.9	140
Total	3,049	100	2,577	100	5,626

The District had 3,049 female candidates and 2,577 male candidates representing 54.2% and 45.8% respectively. 1,939 female and 1,696 male candidates passed, representing 63.6% of the total females and 65.8% of the total males passed the exams. This implies that more male candidates passed than the females.

Table Ten: Performance by Sub – County / Town Council Performance

Sub County / Town Council	Div 1	Div 2	Div 3	Div 4	U	X	Total	%Div 1 - 2	%Div 1 - 3	%Div 1 - 4	%Fail
1. Kyenda TC	20	105	44	60	43	4	276	45.3	61.2	83.0	17.0
2. Kasambya TC	33	104	29	89	63	5	323	42.4	51.4	78.9	21.1
3. Nabingoola TC	60	150	50	110	137	10	517	40.6	50.3	71.6	28.4
4. Kigando SC	69	204	92	225	222	15	827	33.0	44.1	71.3	28.7
5. Kalonga SC	28	98	32	114	161	6	439	28.7	36.0	62.0	38.0
6. Lubimbili SC								27.7	39.9	77.0	23.0

	2	57	26	79	42	7	213				
7. Madudu SC	7	110	86	151	143	5	502	23.3	40.4	70.5	29.5
8. Kasambya SC	17	72	39	97	152	11	388	22.9	33.0	58.0	42.0
9. Kibalinga SC	17	111	49	162	212	20	571	22.4	31.0	59.4	40.6
10. Baggezza	0	20	13	47	18	2	100	20.0	33.0	80.0	20.0
11. Kiyuni SC	8	66	68	109	113	7	371	19.9	38.3	67.7	32.3
12. Kayebe SC	6	32	19	61	105	7	230	16.5	24.8	51.3	48.7
13. Nabingola SC	6	24	15	48	89	5	187	16.0	24.1	49.7	50.3
14. Kitenga SC	1	23	16	57	86	6	189	12.7	21.2	51.3	48.7
15. Butologo	0	30	34	92	144	27	327	9.2	19.6	47.7	52.3
16. Kiruuma SC	0	8	7	27	121	3	166	4.8	9.0	25.3	74.7
Total	274	1,214	619	1,528	1,851	140	5,626	26.4	37.5	64.6	35.4

The best overall Lower Local Government was obtained after analyzing the performance of Division one and two and also considering the overall passing of pupils i.e. Division 1 – 4. Kyenda Town Council emerged the best performing Lower Local government with 45.3% of its candidates passing in Division 1 and 2 and 83% of the candidates that sat for MOCK passing. This was followed by Kasambya and Nabingoola Town Councils.

Table Eleven: UPE Sub County / Town Council performance

Sub County / Town Council	1	2	3	4	U	X	Total	%Div 1 - 2	%Div 1 - 3	%Div 1 - 4	%Fail
1. Kasambya TC	4	59	15	47	48	4	177	35.6	44.1	70.6	29.4
2. Nabingola TC	14	67	36	91	118	7	333	24.3	35.1	62.5	37.5
3. Kyenda TC	0	23	19	29	25	2	98	23.5	42.9	72.4	27.6
4. Lubimbili SC	1	35	19	63	34	5	157	22.9	35.0	75.2	24.8
5. Kiyuni SC	7	56	63	81	89	7	303	20.8	41.6	68.3	31.7
6. Madudu SC	1	63	55	107	103	3	332	19.3	35.8	68.1	31.9
7. Kigando SC	15	63	56	128	173	9	444	17.6	30.2	59.0	41.0
8. Baggezza	0	7	5	23	5	1	41	17.1	29.3	85.4	14.6
9. Kibalinga SC	0	44	25	76	152	7	304	14.5	22.7	47.7	52.3
10. Kalonga SC	2	37	20	90	132	5	286	13.6	20.6	52.1	47.9
11. Kasambya SC	2	26	19	59	128	4	238	11.8	19.7	44.5	55.5
12. Nabingola SC	3	10	9	33	72	5	132	9.8	16.7	41.7	58.3
13. Butologo	0	27	32	81	134	27	301	9.0	19.6	46.5	53.5
14. Kitenga SC	0	12	15	55	82	6	170	7.1	15.9	48.2	51.8
15. Kayebe SC	0	8	11	41	84	4	148	5.4	12.8	40.5	59.5
16. Kiruuma SC	0	8	6	27	110	3	154	5.2	9.1	26.6	73.4
Grand Total	49	545	405	1,031	1,489	99	3,618	16.4	27.6	56.1	43.9

The best UPE performing Lower Local Government was obtained after analyzing the performance of Division one and two and also considering the overall passing of pupils i.e. Division 1– 4. Kasambya Town Council emerged the best performing Lower Local government with 35.6% of its pupils passing in Division 1 and 2 and 70.6% passing. This was followed by Nabingoola and Kyenda Town Councils.

Table Twelve: Private schools sub – county / Town Council performance:

Sub County / Town Council	1	2	3	4	U	X	Total	%Div 1 - 2	%Div 1 - 3	%Div 1 - 4	%Fail
1. Nabingola TC	46	83	14	19	19	3	184	70.1	77.7	88.0	12.0
2. Kitenga SC	1	11	1	2	4	0	19	63.2	68.4	78.9	21.1
3. Kyenda TC	20	82	25	31	18	2	178	57.3	71.3	88.8	11.2
4. Kalonga SC	26	61	12	24	29	1	153	56.9	64.7	80.4	19.6
5. Kigando SC	54	141	36	97	49	6	383	50.9	60.3	85.6	14.4
6. Kasambya TC	29	45	14	42	15	1	146	50.7	60.3	89.0	11.0
7. Lubimbili SC	1	22	7	16	8	2	56	41.1	53.6	82.1	17.9
8. Kasambya SC	15	46	20	38	24	7	150	40.7	54.0	79.3	20.7
9. Kayebe SC	6	24	8	20	21	3	82	36.6	46.3	70.7	29.3
10. Kibalinga SC	17	67	24	86	60	13	267	31.5	40.4	72.7	27.3
11. Madudu SC	6	47	31	44	40	2	170	31.2	49.4	75.3	24.7
12. Nabingola SC	3	14	6	15	17	0	55	30.9	41.8	69.1	30.9
13. Baggezza	0	13	8	24	13	1	59	22.0	35.6	76.3	23.7
14. Kiyuni SC	1	10	5	28	24	0	68	16.2	23.5	64.7	35.3
15. Butoloogo	0	3	2	11	10	0	26	11.5	19.2	61.5	38.5
16. Kiruuma SC	0	0	1	0	11	0	12	0.0	8.3	8.3	91.7
Grand Total	225	669	214	497	362	41	2008	44.5	55.2	79.9	20.1

The best Private schools performing Lower Local Government was obtained after analyzing the performance of Division one and two and also considering the overall passing of pupils i.e. Division 1 – 4. Nabingoola Town Council emerged the best performing Lower Local government for private schools with 70.1% of its pupils passing in Division 1 and 2 and 88% passing. This was followed by Kitenga and Kyenda Town Councils.

Table Thirteen: Best Performing UPE Schools.

School Name	1	2	3	4	U	X	Total	% Div 1 - 2	% Div 1 - 3	% Div 1 - 4	% Div U & X
1. Kansambya PS	1	22	21	10	0	0	54	42.6	81.5	100.0	0.0
2. Don Bosco PS	0	12	7	11	0	0	30	40.0	63.3	100.0	0.0
3. CAWODISA PS	0	25	6	3	0	0	34	73.5	91.2	100.0	0.0
4. Madudu CU PS	0	4	11	11	0	1	27	14.8	55.6	96.3	3.7
5. Katente West PS	4	23	30	25	3	1	86	31.4	66.3	95.3	4.7
6. Kafundeezi PS	1	32	18	44	3	3	101	32.7	50.5	94.1	5.9
7. Kasambya DAS	3	37	6	17	1	3	67	59.7	68.7	94.0	6.0

8. Lulongo PS	0	1	7	12	2	0	22	4.5	36.4	90.9	9.1
9. Nkokonjeru PS	1	18	16	13	4	1	53	35.8	66.0	90.6	9.4
10. Ssenkulu PS	0	16	8	14	3	1	42	38.1	57.1	90.5	9.5

The best performing UPE schools were; Kansambya PS with 100% candidates passing in Divisions I-IV followed by Don Bosco PS with 100%, CAWODISA PS 100%, Madudu CU PS with 96%, and Katente West with 95.3%.

Table Fourteen: Best performing private schools

School_Name	1	2	3	4	U	X	Total	%Div 1	% Div 1-2	%Div 1-3	%Div 1-4	%Div U & X
1. New Life Prep Sch	21	2	0	0	0	0	23	91.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
2. Jesus Cares PS	7	9	0	0	0	0	16	43.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
3. Hope and Care PS	9	23	0	0	0	0	32	28.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
4. Maryland PS	8	22	0	0	0	0	30	26.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
5. Kibaale Model PS	20	19	1	0	0	0	40	50.0	97.5	100.0	100.0	0.0
6. Kisojjo Shinning	8	11	3	0	0	0	22	36.4	86.4	100.0	100.0	0.0
7. Chance for Children	7	17	4	1	0	0	29	24.1	82.8	96.6	100.0	0.0
8. Bright Star PS	7	11	1	3	0	0	22	31.8	81.8	86.4	100.0	0.0
9. Royal Kids PS	14	33	2	5	0	0	54	25.9	87.0	90.7	100.0	0.0
10. Fr. Alex You	10	23		6	0	0	39	25.6	84.6	84.6	100.0	0.0

The best performing private schools were; New Life Preparatory with 100% candidates passing in Divisions I-II followed by Jesus Cares PS with 100%, Hope and Care PS 100%, Maryland PS with 100%, and Kibaale Model with 97.5%.

Table Fifteen: Best performing UPE Pupils

School Name	Candidate_Name	Sex	Eng	Agg	SST	AGG	SCI	AGG	Maths	AGG	TT Mark	TT AGG	Div
Buwaata	Tugabirwe Prudence	F	90	1	91	1	83	2	92	1	356	5	1
Buwaata	Mbabazi Gift Ritah	F	92	1	91	1	80	2	92	1	355	5	1
Buwaata	Ashaba Deniz Arinda	F	87	2	91	1	87	2	88	1	353	6	1
Buwaata	Natukunda Cathy	F	85	2	91	1	83	2	93	1	352	6	1

Lwawuna	Nassonko Shanitah	F	94	1	90	1	78	3	86	1	348	6	1
Lwawuna	Kiboneka Eric	M	85	2	93	1	87	2	82	1	347	6	1
Buwaata	Nanyonyi Alinda Angella	F	84	2	92	1	80	2	88	1	344	6	1
Lwawuna	Kansiime Kirabo	F	93	1	89	2	76	3	87	1	345	7	1
Buwaata	Arinda Jeirah	F	83	2	90	1	75	3	93	1	341	7	1
Kansambya	Tusubira Hamidu	M	91	1	86	2	77	3	81	1	335	7	1
Lwawuna	Lukwago Ashiraf	M	86	2	82	2	81	2	76	2	325	8	1
Buwaata	Akimaana Anita	M	89	2	89	2	73	4	86	1	337	9	1
Kiyita	Asiimwe David	M	87	2	78	3	79	3	88	1	332	9	1
Kabunyansi	Kavuma Jordan	M	87	2	81	2	71	4	89	1	328	9	1
Buwaata	Kirabo Mercy	F	83	2	90	1	74	4	76	2	323	9	1
Kigamba	Atweta Deogracious	M	84	2	80	2	70	4	89	1	323	9	1
Lwawuna	Natukunda Patience	F	83	2	90	1	66	5	83	1	322	9	1
Katente West PS	Asiimwe Agatha	F	83	2	75	3	90	1	68	3	316	9	1

The best performing UPE pupils were TUGABIRWE PRUDANCE and MBABAZI GIFT RITAH who scored aggregate 5 and all from Buwaata PS.

Table Sixteen: Best performing Private school's pupils

School Name	Candidate Name	Sex	Eng	Agg	SST	AGG	SCI	AGG	Maths	AGG	TT Mark	TT AGG	Div
New Life Prep	Muhwezi Jonathan	M	95	1	94	1	94	1	98	1	381	4	1
New Life Prep	Matovu Joel	M	95	1	94	1	90	1	98	1	377	4	1
New Life Prep	Matovu Mustafah	M	95	1	90	1	90	1	91	1	366	4	1
New Life Prep	Ashiimwe Miracle	F	94	1	90	1	91	1	87	1	362	4	1
New Life Prep	Kyoheirwe Scovia	F	96	1	95	1	87	2	95	1	373	5	1
New Life Prep	Acio Mariam	F	95	1	91	1	87	2	97	1	370	5	1
New Life Prep	Nanteza Betty	F	93	1	94	1	87	2	95	1	369	5	1
New Life Prep	Nantumbwe Aishah Namukisa	F	93	1	94	1	85	2	93	1	365	5	1
Buwaata PS	Tumuhimbise Jordan	M	84	2	93	1	91	1	97	1	365	5	1
New Life Prep	Ninsiima Prossy	F	93	1	93	1	83	2	91	1	360	5	1
New Life Prep	Kyalikunda Evas	F	93	1	93	1	89	2	83	1	358	5	1
New Life Prep	Ninsiima Kosia	M	90	1	90	1	85	2	91	1	356	5	1
Kibaale Model	Tumwine Rian	M	92	1	80	2	90	1	80	1	342	5	1
New Life Prep	Mirembe Dorrica	F	95	1	87	2	88	2	94	1	364	6	1
New Life Prep	Lutwama Sudaice	M	89	2	92	1	88	2	94	1	363	6	1
Kibaale Model	Tumwebaze Issa	M	93	1	87	2	87	2	95	1	362	6	1
Kibaale Model	Ayebale Micheal	M	92	1	89	2	83	2	96	1	360	6	1
Royal Kids PS	Niwasasira John	M	84	2	95	1	88	2	90	1	357	6	1
Buwaata PS	Twinamatsiko Asanansio	M	86	2	90	1	85	2	93	1	354	6	1
Buwaata PS	Owobusingye Gabriel	M	86	2	92	1	80	2	95	1	353	6	1
Buwaata PS	Nsenga Ivan	M	84	2	91	1	82	2	95	1	352	6	1
New Life Prep	Ssemanda Martin	M	92	1	92	1	87	2	77	2	348	6	1
Kibaale Model	Ssebuma Ivan	M	94	1	81	2	87	2	86	1	348	6	1
Kabowa PS	Izabayo Richard	F	87	2	81	2	92	1	86	1	346	6	1
Kyengeza PS	Kisakye Joab	M	89	2	81	1	80	2	93	1	343	6	1
Kibaale Model	Kaliman Murishid	M	92	1	87	2	84	2	80	1	343	6	1
Kisojjo Shinning	Babirye Genesis	F	90	1	84	2	90	1	74	2	338	6	1

The best performing candidates were MUHWEZI JONATHAN, MATOVU JOEL, MATOVU MUSTAFAH and ASHIIMWE MIRACLE who scored aggregates 4 and all from New Life Preparatory School

OBSERVATIONS:

- (i) A total of 5,626 candidates sat for the MOCK exams, with 64.6% passing (Divisions 1-4) and 35.4% failing. Additionally, 140 pupils missed some or all of the exam papers.
- (ii) Out of 2,008 private candidates, 79.9% passed, with 11.2% in Division 1, 33.3% in Division 2, 10.7% in Division 3, and 24.8% in Division 4. About 20.1% of private pupils failed the exam.
- (iii) Among 3,618 UPE candidates, 56.1% passed, while 43.9% failed. Only 1.4% passed in Division 1, and the majority of candidates passed in lower divisions.
- (iv) Out of 3,049 female candidates, 63.6% passed and 36.4% failed. Only 3.7% achieved Division 1, while a larger portion, 29%, passed in Division 4.
- (v) Among 2,577 male candidates, 65.8% passed, while 34.2% failed. Males performed slightly better than females, with 6.2% in Division 1.
- (vi) Males performed better overall, with 65.8% passing, compared to 63.6% of females.
- (vii) Kyenda Town Council was the best-performing Lower Local Government, with 45.3% of its candidates passing in Divisions 1 and 2 and 83% overall.
- (viii) Kasambya Town Council had the best UPE performance, with 35.6% of candidates passing in Divisions 1 and 2, and 70.6% passing overall.
- (ix) Nabingoola Town Council had the best private school performance, with 70.1% of candidates passing in Divisions 1 and 2, and 88% overall.
- (x) Kiruuma was the worst-performing Lower Local Government, with 4.8% of its candidates passing in Divisions 1 and 2, followed by Butoloogo with 9.2% and 74% and 52.3% failure respectively.
- (xi) Kiruuma had the worst UPE performance, with 5.2% of its candidates passing in Divisions 1 and 2, followed by Kayebe with 5.4% and 73.4% and 59.5% failure respectively.
- (xii) Kiruuma had the worst private school performance, with 0.0% of its candidates passing in Divisions 1 and 2, followed by Butoloogo with 11.5% and 91.7% and 38.5% failure respectively.
- (xiii) The district's performance has significantly improved from 32.41% in 2019 to 64.6% in 2024.
- (xiv) English had the highest number of distinctions (8.1%), followed by

Mathematics (9.6%), while Science was the lowest-performed subject, with only 2.1% passing with distinctions.

- (xv) The best performing candidates were Muhwezi Jonathan, Matovu Joel, Matovu Mustafah and Ashiimwe Miracle who scored aggregates 4 and all from New Life Preparatory School. The best performing UPE candidates were Tugabirwe Prudence and Mbabazi Gift Ritah who scored aggregate 5 and all from Buwaata PS.

STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE PERFORMANCE:

- (i) Subject based teacher trainings/workshops at Centre / School level with UNEB examiners be organized.
- (ii) Results and examiners' reports be used by schools to make revision using the identified concepts that were not well grasped.
- (iii) Every School to come up with school performance improvement plan.
- (iv) Head teachers to account for candidates who never sat for mock examinations to the District Education Officer within one week after the release of exams and the DEO to give a report to the Chief Administrative Officer on the same within 14 days after the release of the exams with strategies to reduce on the absenteeism.
- (v) UPE schools should receive additional support to improve their performance, especially targeting the high failure rates and absenteeism.
- (vi) Efforts should be made to improve Science performance, given that it was the lowest-performing subject.
- (vii) Schools should focus on pushing more candidates from Division 4 into Divisions 1 and 2, ensuring a more even distribution of successful candidates.

APPRECIATION:

I greatly thank the Education staff, Education Committee, Secretary for Education and Sports [Hon Kayonde Isaac Muwanguzi], the District Chairperson [HON MUHEREZA MICHEAL NTAMBI] with the District Executive and the Chief Administrative Officer for the tremendous effort towards the success and completion of the District Joint Mock as was planned.

I extend our gratitude to the teachers, school administrators, and parents for their tireless efforts and commitment to improving the academic performance of candidates in the district. Special recognition is given to Kyenda, Kasambya, and Nabingoola Town Councils for their outstanding performance.

CONCLUSION:

The overall performance of the district in the 2024 MOCK examinations shows significant improvement compared to previous years. Private schools outperformed UPE schools, with private candidates achieving a higher passing rate. Males performed slightly better than females. Kyenda Town Council was the best among sub-counties for overall performance, while Kasambya Town Council led in UPE schools, and Nabingoola Town Council led in private school performance. English was the most done subject, with Science being the least.

There is much hope for the best performance in the forthcoming PLE if the suggested strategies are implemented.

Ssekyambalo Joseph

DISTRICT INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS

MUBENDE DISTRICT

Copy:

- ▶ Secretary for Education and Sports/Mubende

